Evidence Summary 5

## **HTA Council Summary of Judgment**

The HTAC concluded with the following findings based on its decision framework as stipulated in Republic Act 11223 or the *Universal Healthcare Act*:

Criteria	Adults Post-Ischemic Stroke
Clinical Efficacy / Effectiveness & Safety	Based on the review of clinical evidence, the relative treatment effect of cerebrolysin in combination with rehabilitation and/or standard of care (C-RS) compared to rehabilitation / SOC (RS) alone across all efficacy and safety outcomes mostly yielded non-statistically significant results (i.e., <i>inconclusive</i> ). The HTA Council has therefore deemed that C-RS is <b>non-inferior</b> to RS alone for the treatment of adults post-ischemic stroke, based on moderate to very low certainty of evidence.
Cost- effectiveness	Since the clinical impact judgment is <b>non-inferior</b> , the economic evaluation was performed through cost-minimization analysis and budget impact analysis. (Results are presented under Affordability and Viability.)
Affordability and Viability	The estimated budget impact analysis and the costing analysis based from the computation of the HTAC showed that the government will potentially incur an additional cost of \$\frac{125}{25}\$,067.25 per potential user and \$\frac{125}{25}\$.15 B for all targeted users for three years.

## **HTA Council Preliminary Recommendation**

The HTA Council **does not recommend government financing** of cerebrolysin, in combination with rehabilitation, for the treatment of adults post-ischemic stroke **through its non-inclusion in the PNF** due to the following:

- Based on one critically low-quality review<sup>1</sup> (SR) that reported efficacy outcomes, it was shown that adding cerebrolysin to rehabilitation and/or SOC is clinically non-inferior compared to rehabilitation alone. Upon validation of quality of evidence through GRADE and ROB, the HTAD-validated GRADE ratings were generally lower than that of the SR<sup>1</sup>.
- Based on one moderate-quality SR<sup>2</sup> and one high-quality SR<sup>3</sup>, all safety outcomes were not statistically significant. Therefore, the SC deemed there is insufficient evidence to assess for the safety of adding cerebrolysin to rehabilitation and/or SOC.
  - Furthermore, it was found that the government will potentially incur <u>additional</u> costs of <u>₱25,067.25</u> per target user and an additional <u>₱5.15 B</u> for all targeted users for three years if the government will shift to this new intervention.

<sup>1</sup>Beghi et al (2021), <sup>2</sup>Strilciuc et al (2021), <sup>3</sup>Ziganshina et al (2020)