CALL GUIDE

The Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Philippines invites interested researchers to submit capsule proposals under the 2024 HTA Topics - Batch 3 Call [FEC topics and Cycle 1 topics for Economic Assessments and Ethical, Legal, Social, and Health Systems Implication (ELSHI) Assessments]

- This call consists of prioritized health technology topics that underwent clinical assessment under the HTA general track and are proceeding to the following assessments:
 - Economic assessment: Economic evaluation (EE), Budget Impact Analysis (BIA) and Household Financial Impact (HFI) Analysis
 - o ELSHI assessment: Scoping review of ELSHI evidence (and systematic review and primary data collection, if deemed necessary)

2024 HTA topics - Batch 3

The following are the health technology topics for economic and ELSHI assessments to be funded under this Call for Capsule Proposals:

HTA Topics for Economic Assessment

For Cost-Effectiveness/Utility Analysis (CEA/CUA) + Budget Impact Analysis (BIA) + Household Financial Impact (HFI) Analysis

- 1. Ranibizumab, Aflibercept and Dexamethasone as first-line treatment for Diabetic Macular Edema (DME)
- 2. Ranibizumab compared to Dexamethasone as first-line treatment for Macular Edema secondary to Retinal Vein Occlusion (ME-RVO)
- 3. Rapid-acting Insulin Analogs (insulin aspart, insulin lispro, insulin glulisine) compared with regular insulin among Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) patients on basal insulins (i.e., neutral protamine Hagedorn (NPH) or long-acting insulins (LAIs))
- 4. Rapid-acting Insulin Analogs (insulin aspart, insulin lispro, insulin glulisine) compared with regular insulin among Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) patients on non-insulin medications or basal insulins with or without other medications
- 5. Ivabradine in addition to standard of care (SOC) (i.e., beta blockers) in comparison to SOC alone for adult patients aged 19 years old and above with chronic heart failure and with chronic stable angina or, with resting heart rate (HR) > 70 beats per minute (bpm) who are in sinus rhythm, despite being on optimized guideline-directed medical therapy (GDMT), or when GDMT is contraindicated
- 6. Ticagrelor 60 mg (alone or in combination with aspirin) compared with aspirin alone or clopidogrel (alone or in combination with aspirin) for adult patients with a history of myocardial infarction at least one year ago and high risk of developing a thrombotic event

For Cost-Minimization Analysis (CMA) + BIA + HFI Analysis

7. Ranibizumab compared to Aflibercept as first-line treatment for Wet Age-related Macular Degeneration (wAMD)

For Model-based CMA + BIA + HFI Analysis

8. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors compared to sulfonylureas or SGLT2 inhibitors for adult type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) patients inadequately controlled on metformin monotherapy

HTA topic for ELSHI Assessment

1. Nilotinib compared with Imatinib for adult patients with Philadelphia-positive, chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase

Based on the HTA Methods Guide, there are various methodologies for ELSHI assessment. The standard methodology is a scoping review, and additional methodologies, as deemed necessary, may include Qualitative Systematic Review (QSR) or primary data collection methods such as Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) or Key Informant Interviews (KIIs). The determination of whether additional methodologies are needed shall be guided by a review of existing evidence by the proponent.

Please refer to the attached **Annex C** for the specific Terms of Reference (TOR) per topic assessment.

General Guidelines

- 1. There shall be two (2) stages in the proposal evaluation. First is the submission of a capsule proposal, and the second is the submission of a full proposal. The full proposal is to be submitted only upon approval of the capsule proposal.
- 2. Interested and eligible proponents may notify the HTA Philippines through email of their expression of interest to submit a capsule proposal (along with other requirements discussed under How to Apply section) within ten (10) working days from the posting of the call. From the date of expression of interest, proponents shall submit capsule proposals within ten (10) working
- 3. The methodologies of the capsule proposal should be guided by the interim second edition of the Philippine HTA Methods Guide.
- 4. Interested proponents are enjoined to submit proposals of the listed health technologies, as shown under Annex C.
- 5. For the estimation of budget proposal, below are our recommended rates depending on the specific economic and ELSHI assessment methodologies which should be guided by an initial scoping of available evidence:

Economic Assessment Method	Estimated All-in New Rates	Duration
Cost Minimization Analysis (CMA) + BIA + HFI Analysis	₱ 550,000.00	7 months 6 months (economic assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)
Model-based CMA + BIA + HFI Analysis	₱ 750,000.00	9 months 8 months (economic assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)
Cost-Effectiveness Analysis (CEA) + BIA + HFI Analysis	₱ 850,000.00	10 months 9 months (economic assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)

ELSHI Assessment Method	Estimated All-in New Rates	Duration
Scoping review only	₱ 300,000.00	3 months 2 months (ELSHI assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)
Scoping review + adopting Qualitative Systematic Review (QSR)	₱ 400,000.00	5 months 4 months (ELSHI assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)
Scoping review + de novo SR/updating QSR	₱ 550,000.00	7 months 6 months (ELSHI assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)
Scoping review + primary data collection	₱ 550,000.00	7 months 6 months (ELSHI assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)

- 6. The capsule proposal shall be evaluated based on the following criteria:
 - a. Relevance & Sensitivity Alignment of the research questions and objectives to the research agenda
 - b. Technical/Scientific Sound methodology; alignment to the research questions and HTA Methods Guide
 - c. Data Management Technical merit on handling and management of data
 - d. Financial Feasibility Alignment of the projected project costs to the allocated budget for the research
 - e. Proponent's/Institutional Capacity Good track record or CV with proven competence to implement and complete the project within the approved duration and budget
 - Conflict of Interest (COI) No significant COI; following the COI declaration in the HTA Process Guide
- 7. The review process of the HTA Philippines is aimed to be accomplished within five (5) working days from the receipt of the proposal provided that complete requirements have been submitted. The proponent may need to revise the capsule proposal on the basis of the recommendations of the reviewers and the deadline for this shall be communicated by the HTA Philippines to the proponent.
- 8. Proponents of approved capsule proposals shall be notified to proceed to the submission of the full proposal (details to be provided).

Note: These guidelines only refer to the review of capsule proposals. A separate set of guidelines shall be issued for the processing and approval of the full proposals.

Who may apply for the grant?

Researchers with at least a Master's Degree in a relevant field, have proven research competence / track record, and employed in universities/colleges, research agencies/institutions, hospitals, and other health related agencies are eligible to apply for the research grant.

Interested researchers shall submit the following requirements via email to <a href="https://example.com/h

- Capsule proposal should not be more than two (2) pages (Arial font 11, single spacing) [Annex A; Link to downloadable template]
- Budget Proposal: [General Guidelines #5: Current HTA PH recommended rates for clinical assessment can be adopted and basis of the budget proposal]
- Curriculum Vitae (CV) or Personal Data Sheet (PDS) of the Project Leader and Team Members
- COI Declaration of the Project Leader and Team Members [Annex B; Link to downloadable template
- Cover letter to the DOST- HTA Division addressed to:

ANNE JULIENNE G. MARFORI, RPh, MSc Chief. HTA Division Department of Science and Technology

- 1. HTA Philippines will also require the proponent to submit an ethics clearance for studies involving human subjects, if applicable, before the start of project implementation.
- 2. For submissions from the private sector/non-government organizations, please include the following additional requirements of the Implementing Agency/ Institution:
 - Business/ Mayor's Permit
 - PhilGEPS registration
 - Latest Income Tax Return
 - Certification of completion from previous grants/contracts

Deadline of submission of the abovementioned requirements: Within 10 working days after expression of interest

Any concerns or questions?

For any questions, comments or concerns, please email us at htaresearch@dost.gov.ph.

ANNEX A - Template of Capsule Proposal

Title:			
Authors:			
Affiliations:			

- I. BACKGROUND:
- II. OBJECTIVES:
- III. METHODOLOGY:
- **IV. ESTIMATED BUDGET:**
- V. DURATION OF PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION
- VI. LIST OF REFERENCES:

Note: The capsule proposal should not be more than two (2) pages (Arial font 11, single spacing).

ANNEX B. Disclosure of Conflict of Interest (COI) Form

DISCLOSURE OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST PART 1. FINANCIAL INTERESTS [Note: Declare all relevant activities for the last 5 years) refers to any competing monetary and in-kind benefits interests gained (e.g., salary or other payments for services or equity interests such as stocks, stock options, intellectual property rights, other incentives, among others) To the best of your knowledge, do you or any of your relatives within the fourth (4th) civil degree have any involvement with any of the following within the last five (5) years: a. INVESTMENTS (e.g. stocks, bonds, retirement plans, trust, partnerships, □ NONE (If "none", skip to Item b.) sector funds, etc.) OWNER TYPE OF CHECK PERCENTAGE NET WORTH **CURRENT ESTABLISHMENT INVESTME** NUMBER OF SHARES VALUE LESS THAN MORE spouse, NT 5-15% etc.) 5% **THAN 15%** b. EMPLOYMENT (Full or Part Time) (Last 12 Months, Current or Under Negotiation) □ NONE (If "none", skip to Item c.) DATE EMPLOYMENT OR NEGOTIATIONS **ESTABLISHMENT** RELATIONSHIP POSITION IN FIRM **BEGAN** c. CONTRACTS/GRANTS $\hfill\Box$ NONE (If "none", skip to Item e.) AMOUNT OF RELATED TO PRODUCT REMUNERATION TO TYPE OF LISTED **UNDER STUDY AGREEMENT** TIME PERIOD SPONSOR* YOUR ROLE** **AWARDEE** PRODUCTS/ AND (contract, grant) INSTITU-TION YOU INDICATIONS/ INDICATIONS ISSUES \square NO □ YES □ NO □ YFS □ NO $\quad \Box \text{ YES}$ □ NO Government, Establishment, Institution, Individual ** Site Investigator, Principal Investigator, Co-Investigator, Employee, Partner, No Involvement, or Other $\hfill\Box$ NONE (If "none", skip to Item g.) d. SPEAKING/WRITING AMOUNT RECEIVED RELATED TO LISTED FIRM TOPIC/ISSUE DATES PRODUCTS/ HONORARIUM TRAVEL

INDICATIONS/ ISSUES □ YES □ NO

							□ YES □ NO
							□ YES □ NO
e. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY (PATENTS/R	ROYALTIES/TRADEMARKS)					□ NONE (If "	"none", skip to Item f.)
FOR	ESTABLISHMENT		RELATED TO INDICATIONS/ ISSUES		ODUCTS/	BE INI IN	"YES", PLAIN ILOW AND DICATE COME CEIVED
			□ YES □ NO			IKE	CEIVED
			□ YES □ NO				
			□ YES □ NO				
OTHER FINANCIAL INVOLVEMENTS (Other ldentify any form of rewards or incentives to the second se		•	ie", write "N/A'.) t which has not been disclosed	above.			
prejudicial to an objective inte holding office in a professiona other personal relations or rep To the best of your knowledge, do you have Note: For all past activities, they should be	an individual covered by the repretation of the evidence; lorganization or advocacy groutational risks in relation to eany personal non-pecuniary declared regardless of the time.	is Code has expoup with a direct an intervention interest related me/period of interest of interest related me/period me/period me/period of interest related me/period me/perio	oressed a clear opinion about it interest in the matter under under review If to the health technology as wolvement.	the matter un consideration; vell as its compo	der conside	ration, which c	could reasonably be interpreted as
a. MEMBERSHIP TO A PROFESSIONAL (Part Time) (Last 12 Months, Current or U		CY GROUP (Ful	i or	□ NONE (If "no			
ESTABLISHMENT	RELATIONSHIP	POSITION	IN THE ORGANIZATION		OLVEMENT GAN		PECIFIC TOPICS/ISSUES DVOCATED FOR, IF ANY
b. MEMBERSHIP TO SPEAKER'S BUREAU (Past, Current or Under Negotiation)	<u> </u>		□ NONE (If "n	one", skip to Ite	m d.)		
ESTABLISHMENT	TOPIC/ISSUE		AMOUNT RECEIVED	DATE FROM		DATE TO	RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS/ ISSUES
c. CONSULTANT/ADVISOR (Past, Current or Under Negotiation)			□ NONE (If "no	one", skip to Iter	n d.)		
ESTABLISHMENT	TOPIC/ISSUE		AMOUNT RECEIVED	DATE FROM		TO	RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS/ ISSUES
				-			

d. EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Un I appeared for or against the following lis					□ NONE	(If "none", skip to Item g.)
FIRM AND ISSUE	AMOUNT RECEIVED	AMOUNT RECEIVED RELATED TO INDICATIONS/ ISS		· .		IF "YES", EXPLAIN BELOW
			□ YES □ NO			
			□ YES □ NO	□ YES □ NO		
			□ YES □ NO			
OTHER PERSONAL, NON-PECUNIARY INVO	ations or reputable risks that would given on the importance of a particu	e an "app		ich has not been o		
IF MADDE CDACE IS NIFEDED. DI FASE ATTAC	II ADDITIONAL DACES					
IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, PLEASE ATTAC	H ADDITIONAL PAGES					
PART 3. CERTIFICATION STATEMENT						
I,(First Name, MI, Family Name) do hereby declare in my honor that the ab occurs before or during the meeting or work My response contains pages.		to the be	est of my knowledge. If the	Office, Bureau, Service, Ho	s, I will promptly i	notify you. This includes any change that
NAME AND SIGNATURE OF DESIADANT			DATE			
ME AND SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT DATE						
CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT The primary use of this information is for rev	riew of the HTA Philippines to determine	e compliar	nce with its General Procec	lures in the Disclos	ure and Manager	ment of Conflict of Interest.
This confidential report will not be disclosed	to any requesting person, unless author	rized by la	aw.			
Falsification of information or failure to file o	or report of information required to be re	eported is	subject to disciplinary act	ion by the DOST.		
FOR HTA PHILIPPINES USE ONLY NAME AND SIGNATURE OF REVIEWING OFF	TCIAL		DATE			
COMMENTS OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL						
TE MODE COMOT IS NUTSEEN ON THE COMOT IS NOT IN	ADDITIONAL DAGES					
IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, PLEASE ATTACH	ADDITIONAL PAGES					

ANNEX C - KEY DETAILS ON THE RESEARCH TOPICS

	Topic Assessment	Link to TOR				
Economic Assessment						
1.	Ranibizumab compared to Aflibercept as first-line treatment for Wet Age-related Macular Degeneration (wAMD) [CMA]	https://tinyurl.com/CMA-WAMD				
2.	Ranibizumab, Aflibercept and Dexamethasone as first-line treatment for Diabetic Macular Edema (DME) [CEA/CUA]	https://tinyurl.com/Economic-DME				
3.	Ranibizumab compared to Dexamethasone as first-line treatment for Macular Edema secondary to Retinal Vein Occlusion (ME-RVO) [CEA/CUA]	https://tinyurl.com/Economic-MERVO				
4.	Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors compared to sulfonylureas or SGLT2 inhibitors for adult type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) patients inadequately controlled on metformin monotherapy [model-based CMA]	https://tinyurl.com/CMA-DPP4i				
5.	Rapid-acting Insulin Analogs (insulin aspart, insulin lispro, insulin glulisine) compared with regular insulin among Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus (T1DM) patients on basal insulins (i.e., neutral protamine Hagedorn (NPH) or long-acting insulins (LAIs)) [CEA/CUA]	https://tinyurl.com/Economic-T1DM				
6.	Rapid-acting Insulin Analogs (insulin aspart, insulin lispro, insulin glulisine) compared with regular insulin among Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) patients on non-insulin medications or basal insulins with or without other medications [CEA/CUA]	https://tinyurl.com/Economic-T2DM				
7.	Ivabradine in addition to standard of care (SOC) (i.e., beta blockers) in comparison to SOC alone for adult patients aged 19 years old and above with chronic heart failure and with chronic stable angina or, with resting heart rate (HR) > 70 beats per minute (bpm) who are in sinus rhythm, despite being on optimized guideline-directed medical therapy (GDMT), or when GDMT is contraindicated [CEA/CUA] patients with Philadelphia-positive, chronic myeloid leukemia	https://tinyurl.com/Economic-Ivabradine				
8.	Ticagrelor 60 mg (alone or in combination with aspirin) compared with aspirin alone or clopidogrel (alone or in combination with aspirin) for adult patients with a history of myocardial infarction at least one year ago and high risk of developing a thrombotic event [CEA/CUA]	https://tinyurl.com/Economic-TicagrelorTi				
	Topic Assessment	Link to TOR				
SHI	Assessment					
1.	Nilotinib compared with Imatinib for adult in chronic phase	Note: The final TOR to be developed is bas on the type of ELSHI assessment to				