CALL GUIDE

The Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Philippines invites interested researchers to submit <u>capsule proposals</u> under the 2024 HTA Topics - Batch 3 Call [FEC topics and Cycle 1 topics for Economic Assessments and Ethical, Legal, Social, and Health Systems Implication (ELSHI) Assessments]

- This call consists of prioritized health technology topics that underwent clinical assessment under the HTA general track and are proceeding to the following assessments:
 - Economic assessment: Economic evaluation (EE), Budget Impact Analysis
 (BIA) and Household Financial Impact (HFI) Analysis
 - ELSHI assessment: Scoping review of ELSHI evidence (and systematic review and primary data collection, if deemed necessary)

2024 HTA topics - Batch 3

The following are the health technology topics for economic and ELSHI assessments to be funded under this Call for Capsule Proposals:

HTA Topics for Economic Assessment

For Cost-Effectiveness/Utility Analysis (CEA/CUA)

- Ranibizumab, Aflibercept and Dexamethasone as first-line treatment for Diabetic Macular Edema (DME)
- Ranibizumab compared to Dexamethasone as first-line treatment for Macular Edema secondary to Retinal Vein Occlusion (ME-RVO)
- Nilotinib compared with Imatinib for adult patients with Philadelphia-positive, chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase

For Cost-Minimization Analysis (CMA)

 Ranibizumab compared to Aflibercept as first-line treatment for Wet Age-related Macular Degeneration (wAMD)

HTA topics for ELSHI Assessment

- Ranibizumab, Aflibercept and Dexamethasone as first-line treatment for Diabetic Macular Edema (DME)
- Ranibizumab compared to Dexamethasone as first-line treatment for Macular Edema secondary to Retinal Vein Occlusion (ME-RVO)
- Ranibizumab compared to Aflibercept as first-line treatment for Wet Age-related Macular Degeneration (wAMD)

- Nilotinib compared with Imatinib for adult patients with Philadelphia-positive, chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase
- Erdosteine compared to acetylcysteine or carbocisteine among adult patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) GOLD GRADE 1 and above

Based on the HTA Methods Guide, there are various methodologies for ELSHI assessment. The standard methodology is a scoping review, and additional methodologies, as deemed necessary, may include Qualitative Systematic Review (QSR) or primary data collection methods such as Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) or Key Informant Interviews (KIIs). The determination of whether additional methodologies are needed shall be guided by a review of existing evidence by the proponent.

Please refer to the attached Annex C for the specific Terms of Reference (TOR) per topic assessment.

General Guidelines

- 1. There shall be two (2) stages in the proposal evaluation. First is the submission of a capsule proposal, and the second is the submission of a full proposal. The full proposal is to be submitted only upon approval of the capsule proposal.
- 2. Interested and eligible proponents may notify the HTA Philippines through email of their expression of interest to submit a capsule proposal (along with other requirements discussed under How to Apply section) within ten (10) working days from the posting of the call. From the date of expression of interest, proponents shall submit capsule proposals within ten (10) working days.
- 3. The methodologies of the capsule proposal should be guided by the interim second edition of the Philippine HTA Methods Guide.
- 4. Interested proponents are enjoined to submit proposals of the listed health technologies, as shown under Annex C.
- 5. For the estimation of budget proposal, below are our recommended rates depending on the specific economic and ELSHI assessment methodologies which should be guided by an initial scoping of available evidence:

Economic Assessment Method	Estimated All-in New Rates (inclusive of tax)	Duration
Cost Minimization Analysis (CMA)	₱ 550,000.00	7 months 6 months (economic assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)
Cost-Effectiveness Analysis (CEA)	₱ 850,000.00	10 months 9 months (economic assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)

ELSHI Assessment Method	Estimated All-in New Rates (inclusive of tax)	Duration
Scoping review only	₱ 300,000.00	3 months 2 months (ELSHI assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)
Scoping review + adopting Qualitative Systematic Review (QSR)	₱ 400,000.00	5 months 4 months (ELSHI assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)
Scoping review + de novo SR/updating QSR	₱ 550,000.00	7 months 6 months (ELSHI assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)
Scoping review + primary data collection	₱ 550,000.00	7 months 6 months (ELSHI assessment) + 1 month (payment processing)

- 6. The capsule proposal shall be evaluated based on the following criteria:
 - a. Relevance & Sensitivity Alignment of the research questions and objectives to the research agenda
 - b. Technical/Scientific Sound methodology; alignment to the research questions and HTA Methods Guide
 - c. Data Management Technical merit on handling and management of data
 - d. Financial Feasibility Alignment of the projected project costs to the allocated budget for the research
 - e. Proponent's/Institutional Capacity Good track record or CV with proven competence to implement and complete the project within the approved duration and budget
 - f. Conflict of Interest (COI) No significant COI; following the COI declaration in the HTA Process Guide
- 7. The review process of the HTA Philippines is aimed to be accomplished within five (5) working days from the receipt of the proposal provided that complete requirements have been submitted. The proponent may need to revise the capsule proposal on the basis of the recommendations of the reviewers and the deadline for this shall be communicated by the HTA Philippippines to the proponent.
- 8. Proponents of approved capsule proposals shall be notified to proceed to the submission of the full proposal (*details to be provided*).

Note: These guidelines only refer to the review of capsule proposals. A separate set of guidelines shall be issued for the processing and approval of the full proposals.

Who may apply for the grant?

Researchers with at least a Master's Degree in a relevant field, have proven research competence / track record, and employed in universities/colleges, research agencies/institutions, hospitals, and other health related agencies are eligible to apply for the research grant.

How to apply?

Interested researchers shall submit the following requirements via email to <a href="https://h

- **Capsule proposal** should not be more than two (2) pages (Arial font 11, single spacing) [Annex A; Link to downloadable template]
- **Budget Proposal:** [General Guidelines #5: Current HTA PH recommended rates for clinical assessment can be adopted and basis of the budget proposal]
- Curriculum Vitae (CV) or Personal Data Sheet (PDS) of the Project Leader and Team Members
- COI Declaration of the Project Leader and Team Members [Annex B; Link to downloadable template]
- Cover letter to the DOST- HTA Division addressed to:

ANNE JULIENNE G. MARFORI, RPh, MSc Chief, HTA Division
Department of Science and Technology

- 1. HTA Philippines will also require the proponent to submit an ethics clearance for studies involving human subjects, if applicable, before the start of project implementation.
- 2. For submissions from the private sector/non-government organizations, please include the following additional requirements of the Implementing Agency/ Institution:
 - Business/ Mayor's Permit
 - PhilGEPS registration
 - Latest Income Tax Return
 - Certification of completion from previous grants/contracts

Deadline of submission of the abovementioned requirements: Within 10 days after expression of interest

Any concerns or questions?

For any questions, comments or concerns, please email us at <a href="https://h

ANNEX A - Template of Capsule Proposal

Title:			
Authore:			

- I. BACKGROUND:
- II. OBJECTIVES:

Affiliations:

- III. METHODOLOGY:
- IV. ESTIMATED BUDGET:
- V. DURATION OF PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION
- VI. LIST OF REFERENCES:

Note: The capsule proposal should not be more than two (2) pages (Arial font 11, single spacing).

ANNEX B. Disclosure of Conflict of Interest (COI) Form

					. 0						
		[DISCL	OSURE (OF CONFLICT	OF INTERE	ST				
PART 1. FINANCIAL refers to any components intellectual property	eting monetary an	ıd in-kind benefi	ts inter			er payments for s	services or equi	ty interests su	uch as s	tocks, stock options	
To the best of your years:	knowledge, do you	u or any of your	relative	s within the	fourth (4th) civil de	egree have any in	volvement with	any of the foll	lowing w	vithin the last five (5	
a. INVESTMENTS partnerships, sector		ds, retirement μ	olans, t	rust,	□ NONE	(If "none", skip to	Item b.)				
		TYPE OF	OWNER				СНІ	CK PERCENTA	GE NET	WORTH	
ESTABLI	SHMENT	INVESTM ENT	(se spou etc	ise,	UMBER OF SHARES	CURRENT VALUE	LESS THAN 5%	5-15	5%	MORE THAN 15%	
				•		•	'				
b. EMPLOYMENT Negotiation)	(Full or Part Tir	me) (Last 12 Mo	onths, (Current or	Under	□ NO	NE (If "none", sl	kip to Item c.)			
ESTABLIS	SHMENT	RELATIONS	HIP		POSITION IN FIRM				DATE EMPLOYMENT OR NEGOTIATIONS BEGAN		
c. CONTRACTS/GR	ANTS							□ NONE (If	"none",	skip to Item e.)	
TYPE OF	PRODUCT UNDER STUDY	AMC REMUNI	UNT OF							RELATED TO LISTED	
AGREEMENT (contract, grant)	AND INDICATIONS	INSTITU-TION		YOU	TIME PERIOD	SPONSOR*	YOUR ROLE	** AWAF	RDEE	PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS/ ISSUES	
										□ YES	
										□ YES □ NO	
										□ YES	
										□ YES	

Government, Establishment, Institution, Individual

. SPEAKING/WRITING					□ NONE (I	f "none", skip to Item g.)
		AMOUI	NT RECEIVED			RELATED TO LISTED
FIRM	TOPIC/ISSUE	HONORARIUM	TRAVEL		DATES PRODUCTS INDICATION	
						□ YES □ NO
						□ YES □ NO
						□ YES □ NO
. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY (PATENTS/ROYALTIES/TRADEN	//ARKS)			□ NONE (I	f "none", skip to Item f.)
					IF	,
		951		222210721		KPLAIN ELOW
OR	ESTABLISHMENT		ATED TO LISTED ICATIONS/ ISSUES	PRODUCTS/	Α	ND
			·			IDICATE ICOME
		пу	ES □ NO		RI	ECEIVED
		U 11				
		пУ	ES □ NO			
			ES □ NO			
	NTS (Other Kinds of Relations incentives that would give an	ships) □ NONE (If "nor	ES □ NO	sclosed above.		
RT 2. PERSONAL NON-PECUI th interests include, but are represental views or meace a public statement interpreted as prejuice holding office in a presental relation of the personal relation the best of your knowledge ited to the following:	NIARY INTERESTS not limited to: oral conviction on the importate thed as the conclusion of a result of the model of the conclusion of a result of the conclusion	ships) NONE (If "nor "appearance" of a conflict of a con	e", write "N/A'.) It which has not been di It topic that can influence linical and/or cost- effect d a clear opinion about interest in the matter under review It to the health tech	ee the scientific c ctiveness of an in the matter und under considerat	itervention user consideration;	inder review; ion, which could reasonal
RT 2. PERSONAL NON-PECUI th interests include, but are responsed in a clear opinion, reaction a public statement in interpreted as prejuice holding office in a proper other personal relation the best of your knowledge ited to the following: the membership to a proper of the personal relation to the following: the membership to a proper of the personal relation to the following:	NIARY INTERESTS not limited to: oral conviction on the importated as the conclusion of a result of the dast he decided in the dast has a result of the decided in the dast has a result of the d	ance of a particular area of earch project, about the complete by this Code has expresse ation of the evidence; rocacy group with a direct lation to an intervention uncon-pecuniary interest relates of the time/period of interest of the time/period of time.	e", write "N/A'.) It which has not been di It topic that can influence Inical and/or cost- effect d a clear opinion about Interest in the matter under review Interest to the health tech Volvement.	ee the scientific c ctiveness of an in the matter und under considerat	ntervention user consideration; s its compet	inder review; ion, which could reasonal
RT 2. PERSONAL NON-PECUI th interests include, but are responsed in a clear opinion, reaction a public statement in interpreted as prejuice holding office in a proper other personal relation the best of your knowledge ited to the following: the membership to a proper of the personal relation to the following: the membership to a proper of the personal relation to the following:	NIARY INTERESTS not limited to: oral conviction on the importated as the conclusion of a result of the dast he conclusion of the dast he dast h	ance of a particular area of earch project, about the complete by this Code has expresse ation of the evidence; rocacy group with a direct lation to an intervention uncon-pecuniary interest relates of the time/period of interest of the time/period of time.	r topic that can influence linical and/or cost- effect d a clear opinion about interest in the matter conder review atted to the health technology.	te the scientific octiveness of an in the matter undurinder consideration nology as well at the first term of the first	otervention user consideration; s its competent of the Item c.)	inder review; ion, which could reasonal ring products, including bu
RT 2. PERSONAL NON-PECUI h interests include, but are i	NIARY INTERESTS not limited to: oral conviction on the importathed as the conclusion of a result of the control of the importation of the important	ance of a particular area or earch project, about the coby this Code has expresse ation of the evidence; vocacy group with a direct lation to an intervention unon-pecuniary interest relates of the time/period of intervention of the evidence; vocacy group with a direct lation to an intervention unon-pecuniary interest relates of the time/period of intervention.	r topic that can influence linical and/or cost- effect d a clear opinion about interest in the matter conder review atted to the health technology.	ce the scientific octiveness of an in the matter undurnder considerate nology as well a	otervention user consideration; s its competent of the Item c.)	inder review; ion, which could reasonal ing products, including bu
RT 2. PERSONAL NON-PECUI th interests include, but are i	NIARY INTERESTS not limited to: oral conviction on the importathed as the conclusion of a result of the control of the importation of the important	ance of a particular area or earch project, about the coby this Code has expresse ation of the evidence; vocacy group with a direct lation to an intervention unon-pecuniary interest relates of the time/period of intervention of the evidence; vocacy group with a direct lation to an intervention unon-pecuniary interest relates of the time/period of intervention.	r topic that can influence linical and/or cost- effect d a clear opinion about interest in the matter conder review atted to the health technology.	te the scientific octiveness of an in the matter undurinder consideration nology as well at the first term of the first	otervention user consideration; s its competent of the Item c.)	inder review; ion, which could reasonal ring products, including bu

(Past, Current or Under Negotiation)

EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) Impeared for or against the following listed establishment(s) and issue(s) RM AND ISSUE AMOUNT RECEIVED RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS/ ISSUES RELATED TO USTED PRODUCTS/ IF "YES", EXPLAIN BELOW PYES IND PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS/ ISSUES RELATED TO USTED PRODUCTS/ IF "YES", EXPLAIN BELOW PYES IND PYES IND		TOPIC/ISSUE	AN	OUNT RECEIVED	DATE FROM	DATE TO	RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS/ ISSUES
EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DATE FROM TO PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS, ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT TOPIC/ISSUE AMOUNT RECEIVED RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT RECEIVED RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ IF "YES", EXPLAIN BELOW EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT PRODUCTS/ IF "YES", EXPLAIN BELOW EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES DATE PRODUCTS/ PRODUCTS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DATE PRODUCTS/ PRODUCTS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DATE PRODUCTS/ PRODUCTS/ ISSUES PRESONAL, NON-PECUNIARY INVOILYEMENTS (Other Kinds of Relationships) DATE PRODUCTS/ PRODUCTS/ ISSUES PRODUCTS/ PRODUCTS/ PRODUCTS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DATE PRODUCTS/ PR							
EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT AMOUNT RECEIVED RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS, ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT AMOUNT RECEIVED RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS/ ISSUES RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS/ ISSUES AMOUNT RECEIVED RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS/ ISSUES BELOW PYES IND PROPRIED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ BELOW PYES IND PROPRIED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ BELOW PYES IND PYES I							
EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DATE FROM TO PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS, ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT TOPIC/ISSUE AMOUNT RECEIVED RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT RECEIVED RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ IF "YES", EXPLAIN BELOW EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT PRODUCTS/ IF "YES", EXPLAIN BELOW EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DESTABLISHMENT VINDICATIONS/ ISSUES DATE PRODUCTS/ PRODUCTS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DATE PRODUCTS/ PRODUCTS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DATE PRODUCTS/ PRODUCTS/ ISSUES PRESONAL, NON-PECUNIARY INVOILYEMENTS (Other Kinds of Relationships) DATE PRODUCTS/ PRODUCTS/ ISSUES PRODUCTS/ PRODUCTS/ PRODUCTS/ ISSUES EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) DATE PRODUCTS/ PR	CONSULTANT/ADVISOR		<u> </u>	□ NONE (If "n	one", skin to Item o	.)	
EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) ppeared for or against the following listed establishment(s) and issue(s) EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) ppeared for or against the following listed establishment(s) and issue(s) EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) ppeared for or against the following listed establishment(s) and issue(s) EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) ppeared for or against the following listed establishment(s) and issue(s) EXPERT WITNESS (Past, Current or Under Negotiation) PRODUCTS/ Info Info Info Info Info Info Info Info		on)	1		T T	•/	DELATED TO LICTED
AMOUNT RECEIVED RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ EXPLAIN BELOW PES DNO PYES DN	ESTABLISHMENT	TOPIC/ISSUE	AM	OUNT RECEIVED			PRODUCTS/ INDICATIONS/
AMOUNT RECEIVED RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ IF "YES", EXPLAIN BELOW							
AMOUNT RECEIVED RELATED TO LISTED PRODUCTS/ EXPLAIN BELOW PES DNO PYES DN							
MAND ISSUE AMOUNT RECEIVED RESIDENTIAL PRODUCTS INDICATIONS/ ISSUES REPLAIN BELOW PYES NO PYES N	• • •	· .	sue(s)			□ NON	E (If "none", skip to Item g.)
PYES NO YES NO	M AND ISSUE	AMOUNT RECEIVED					EXPLAIN
ER PERSONAL, NON-PECUNIARY INVOLVEMENTS (Other Kinds of Relationships) NONE (If "none", write "N/A'.) tify any past or ongoing personal relations or reputable risks that would give an "appearance" of a conflict which has not been disclosed above (e.g., involvement uit, researcher initiated study, personal views or moral conviction on the importance of a particular area or topic that can influence the scientific opinions of pile, activities of the organization in which you serve as an officer, director, trustee, general partner, or employee). ORE SPACE IS NEEDED, PLEASE ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES 3. CERTIFICATION STATEMENT				□ YES □ NO			
ER PERSONAL, NON-PECUNIARY INVOLVEMENTS (Other Kinds of Relationships) DONE (If "none", write "N/A'.) Itify any past or ongoing personal relations or reputable risks that would give an "appearance" of a conflict which has not been disclosed above (e.g., involvement uit, researcher initiated study, personal views or moral conviction on the importance of a particular area or topic that can influence the scientific opinions of ole, activities of the organization in which you serve as an officer, director, trustee, general partner, or employee). ORE SPACE IS NEEDED, PLEASE ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES 3. CERTIFICATION STATEMENT designated as of the (First Name, Mi, Family Name) (Position/Designation, when applicable) (Name of Agency, Office, Bureou, Service, Hospital, or Unit) reby declare in my honor that the above information is true and complete, to the best of my knowledge. If there are any changes, I will promptly notify you less any change that occurs before or during the meeting or work itself and through the period up to the publication of the final results or completion of the a rned. E AND SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT DATE				□ YES □ NO			
titify any past or ongoing personal relations or reputable risks that would give an "appearance" of a conflict which has not been disclosed above (e.g., involvementable, risks and the conflict study, personal views or moral conviction on the importance of a particular area or topic that can influence the scientific opinions of pile, activities of the organization in which you serve as an officer, director, trustee, general partner, or employee). ORE SPACE IS NEEDED, PLEASE ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES				□ YES □ NO			
designated as of the, (First Name, MI, Family Name)	suit, researcher initiated study,	personal views or moral conviction	n on the ir	mportance of a particu	ular area or topic th		
	IORE SPACE IS NEEDED. PLEASE	ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES					
des any change that occurs before or during the meeting or work itself and through the period up to the publication of the final results or completion of the a erned. esponse contains pages. E AND SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT DATE	10RE SPACE IS NEEDED, PLEASE	ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES					
E AND SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT DATE	3. CERTIFICATION STATEMENT (First Name, MI, Family Name)	designated as(Positi			(Name of Agenc		
	3. CERTIFICATION STATEMENT (First Name, MI, Family Name) ereby declare in my honor that des any change that occurs bef	designated as (Positi t the above information is true and	l complete	, to the best of my kn	(Name of Agency nowledge. If there a	ire any cha	nges, I will promptly notify you
IDENTIALITY STATEMENT	3. CERTIFICATION STATEMENT (First Name, MI, Family Name) ereby declare in my honor that des any change that occurs beforned.	designated as (Positi t the above information is true and	l complete	, to the best of my kn	(Name of Agency nowledge. If there a	ire any cha	nges, I will promptly notify you
	(First Name, MI, Family Name) ereby declare in my honor that des any change that occurs beferned. esponse contains pages.	designated as (Positi t the above information is true and fore or during the meeting or work	l complete	, to the best of my kn through the period up	(Name of Agency nowledge. If there a	ire any cha	nges, I will promptly notify you

This confidential report will not be disclosed to any requesting person, unless authorized by law.				
Falsification of information or failure to file or report of information required to be reported is subject to disciplinary action by the DOST.				
FOR HTA PHILIPPINES USE ONLY				
NAME AND SIGNATURE OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL	DATE			
COMMENTS OF REVIEWING OFFICIAL				
IF MODE COACE IS NEEDED DIFFACE ATTACH ADDITIONAL DAGES				
IF MORE SPACE IS NEEDED, PLEASE ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES				

ANNEX C - KEY DETAILS ON THE RESEARCH TOPICS

2024 HTA Research Agenda Batch 3 [FE	C and Cycle 1 EE and ELSHI]
Topic Assessment	Link to TOR
Economic Assessment	
Ranibizumab compared to Aflibercept as first-line treatment for Wet Age-related Macular Degeneration (wAMD) [CMA]	https://tinyurl.com/CMA-WAMD
Ranibizumab, Aflibercept and Dexamethasone as first-line treatment for Diabetic Macular Edema (DME) [CEA/CUA]	https://tinyurl.com/Economic-DME
Ranibizumab compared to Dexamethasone as first-line treatment for Macular Edema secondary to Retinal Vein Occlusion (ME-RVO) [CEA/CUA]	https://tinyurl.com/Economic-MERVO
Nilotinib compared with Imatinib for adult patients with Philadelphia-positive, chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase [CEA/CUA]	https://tinyurl.com/Economic-Nilotinib
ELSHI Assessment	
Ranibizumab, Aflibercept and Dexamethasone as first-line treatment for Diabetic Macular Edema (DME)	https://tinyurl.com/ELSHI-TOR
Ranibizumab compared to Dexamethasone as first-line treatment for Macular Edema secondary to Retinal Vein Occlusion (ME-RVO)	Note: The final TOR to be developed is based on the type of ELSHI assessment to be conducted (after approval of full proposal)
Ranibizumab compared to Aflibercept as first-line treatment for Wet Age-related Macular Degeneration (wAMD)	
Nilotinib compared with Imatinib for adult patients with Philadelphia-positive, chronic myeloid leukemia in chronic phase	
Erdosteine compared to acetylcysteine or carbocisteine among adult patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) GOLD GRADE 1 and above	