# Weekly Evidence Report

Health Technology Assessment Philippines

24 Jan 2022 to 30 Jan 2022

#### **Overview**

The following report presents summaries of evidence the Department of Health (DOH) - Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Unit reviewed for the period of 17 Jan to 23 Jan 2022. The HTA Unit reviewed a total of **11 studies** for the said period.

Evidence includes **4 studies** on Epidemiology; **1** study on Transmission; **1 study** on Drugs; **3 studies** on Vaccines, **0 studies** on Equipment and Devices; **0** studies on Medical and Surgical Procedures; **0** studies on Traditional Medicine; and **2 studies** on Preventive & Promotive Health.

#### **Sections**

|                               | ( |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Epidemiology                  |   |
| Transmission                  |   |
| Drugs                         |   |
| Vaccines                      |   |
| Equipment & Devices           |   |
| Medical & Surgical Procedures |   |
| Traditional Medicine          |   |
| Preventive & Promotive Health |   |



#### **Evidence on Epidemiology**

# Local COVID-19 Tracker: <u>https://www.doh.gov.ph/covid19tracker</u> Local COVID-19 Case Tracker: <u>https://www.doh.gov.ph/covid-19/case-tracker</u>

| Date           | Author/s  | Title  | Journal/<br>Article Type   | Summary   |
|----------------|---|--|--|---|
| 25 Jan<br>2022 | WHO Global  | Weekly<br>epidemiological<br>update on COVID-19 -<br>25 January 2022 | WHO Global<br>(Situation<br>Report)  | <ul> <li>Globally, the number of new<br/>COVID-19 cases increased in the<br/>past week (17-23 January 2022) by<br/>5%, while the number of new<br/>deaths remained similar to that<br/>reported during the previous week.</li> <li>As of 23 January, over 346 million<br/>confirmed cases and over 5.5<br/>million deaths have been reported<br/>worldwide.</li> </ul>  |
|                |   |  | WHO Global<br>(Situation<br>Report) –<br><i>Regional</i><br><i>Updates</i> | <ul> <li>A slower increase in case incidence was observed at the global level, with only half of the regions reported an increase in the number of new weekly cases, as compared to five out of six regions in the previous week.</li> <li>The Eastern Mediterranean region reported the second largest increase in new cases last week (39%), followed by the South-East Asia region (36%).</li> <li>New weekly deaths increased in South-East Asia Region (44%), the Eastern Mediterranean Region (15%) and the Region of the Americas (7%), while remaining approximately the same as the previous week in the other regions.</li> </ul> |
| 28 Jan<br>2022 | European<br>Centre for<br>Disease<br>Prevention and<br>Control (ECDC) | Weekly COVID-19<br>Surveillance Report                               | ECDC Data Set  | <ul> <li>At the end of week 3 (week ending<br/>Sunday, 23 January 2022), the<br/>overall epidemiological situation in<br/>the EU/EEA was characterised by a<br/>very high overall case notification<br/>rate that has increased rapidly in<br/>the past five weeks and an elevated<br/>but stable death rate.</li> <li>B.1.1.529 (Omicron) was the<br/>dominant variant (accounting for<br/>&gt;50% of sequenced viruses) in 17<br/>of the 22 EU/EEA countries with<br/>adequate sequencing volume</li> </ul>   |

# Evidence on Vulnerable Population Epidemiology

| Date           | Author/s              | Title   | Journal/ Article<br>Type  | Summary   |
|----------------|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| 28 Jan<br>2022 | Fremed, M., et al     | Elevated<br>Cardiac<br>Biomarkers<br>and Outcomes<br>in Children and<br>Adolescents<br>with Acute<br>COVID-19       | Cardiology in the<br>Young - Cambridge<br>Coronavirus<br>Collection | In this retrospective, single center, cohort<br>study, we describe the cardiac involvement<br>found in this population and report on<br>outcomes of patients with and without<br>elevated cardiac biomarkers. Those with<br>MIS-C, cardiomyopathy, or complex<br>congenital heart disease were excluded.<br>Inclusion criteria were met by 80 patients<br>during the initial peak of the pandemic at our<br>institution. High-sensitivity troponin T and/or<br>NT-proBNP were measured in 27/80 (34%)<br>patients and abnormalities were present in<br>5/27 (19%), all of whom had underlying<br>comorbidities. Advanced respiratory support<br>was required in all patients with elevated<br>cardiac biomarkers. Electrocardiographic<br>abnormalities were identified in 14/38 (37%)<br>studies. Echocardiograms were performed on<br>7/80 subjects, and none demonstrated left<br>ventricular dysfunction. Larger studies to<br>determine the true extent of cardiac<br>involvement in children with COVID-19 would<br>be useful to guide recommendations for<br>standard workup and management. |
| 28 Jan<br>2022 | Topless R., et<br>al. | Gout and the<br>risk of<br>COVID-19<br>diagnosis and<br>death in the UK<br>Biobank: a<br>population-bas<br>ed study | Lancet<br>Rheumatology<br>(Case-control<br>study)                   | <ul> <li>Gout was associated with diagnosis of COVID-19 (odds ratio [OR] 1·20, 95% CI 1·11–1·29) but not with risk of COVID-19-related death in the cohort of patients diagnosed with COVID-19 (1·20, 0·96–1·51). In the entire cohort, gout was associated with COVID-19-related death (1·29, 1·06–1·56); women with gout had an increased risk of OVID-19-related death (1·98, 1·34–2·94), whereas men with gout did not (1·16, 0·93–1·45). We found no significant differences in the risk of COVID-19-related death according to prescription of urate-lowering therapy or colchicine. When patients with gout were stratified by vaccination status, the risk of diagnosis with COVID-19 was significant in the non-vaccinated group (1·21, 1·11–1·30) but not the vaccinated group (1·09, 0·65–1·85).</li> <li>Gout is a risk factor for COVID-19-related death in the UK Biobank cohort, with an increased risk in women with gout, which was driven by risk factors independent of the metabolic comorbidities of gout.</li> </ul>  |

# **Evidence on Transmission**

| Date           | Author/s                        | Title  | Journal/ Article<br>Type | Summary   |
|----------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---|
| 27 Jan<br>2022 | UK Health<br>Security<br>Agency | The effect of<br>vaccination on<br>transmission<br>of COVID-19<br>A rapid review | Rapid review             | <ul> <li>There was evidence across 13<br/>transmission studies (all<br/>observational, all variants) that fully<br/>vaccinated index cases transmitted<br/>COVID-19 to their contacts less than<br/>unvaccinated index cases,<br/>particularly for wild-type and<br/>non-Delta variants (moderate<br/>certainty on GRADE), and this<br/>reduction was substantial (e.g.<br/>&gt;50% reduction in transmission) in<br/>many studies.</li> <li>In most studies assessing both<br/>partial and full vaccination, partial<br/>vaccination was much less effective<br/>for reducing transmission from<br/>cases than full vaccination.</li> <li>Evidence from the 32 viral load<br/>studies was broadly supportive of<br/>the transmission studies: 23 studies<br/>that looked at wild-type and<br/>non-Delta variants of COVID-19<br/>(moderate certainty on GRADE)<br/>typically showed that fully<br/>vaccinated cases had higher Ct<br/>values than unvaccinated cases<br/>(suggesting a lower viral load),<br/>however, evidence was again more<br/>mixed for the Delta variant (low<br/>certainty on GRADE), as while most<br/>of the 16 studies suggested only a<br/>small (or no) difference in Ct values<br/>between fully vaccinated and<br/>unvaccinated cases, some studies<br/>suggested Ct values were higher in<br/>fully vaccinated cases, and 1 study<br/>suggested lower Ct values in fully<br/>vaccinated cases.</li> </ul> |

# Evidence on Drugs

| Date           | Author/s | Title   | Journal/ Article<br>Type               | Summary  |
|----------------|----------|---|--|--|
| 27 Jan<br>2022 | UKNICE   | COVID-19 rapid<br>guideline: managing<br>COVID-19 -<br>Updated<br>recommendation on<br>neutralising<br>monoclonal<br>antibody<br>(sotrovimab, or<br>combination<br>casirivimab plus<br>imdevimab) | UK NICE<br>COVID-19 rapid<br>guideline | <ul> <li>There is evidence that neutralising monoclonal antibodies (sotrovimab, and the combination of casirivimab and imdevimab) reduce the combined outcome of hospitalisation or death, and clinical progression to severe disease, in people who are not in hospital with COVID-19 but are thought to be at high risk of progression to severe disease.</li> <li>In vitro research data on the efficacy of sotrovimab, and the combination of casirivimab and imdevimab against the new Omicron (B.1.1.529) variant, suggests that neutralising monoclonal antibodies have varying biological efficacy against Omicron. The results suggest this may also be the case with future emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants. The panel agreed that more research into this area is needed to guide treatment and made a research recommendation to address this gap in the published evidence.</li> </ul> |

#### **Evidence on Vaccines**

| Date           | Author/s                 | Title  | Journal/<br>Article Type            | Summary   |
|----------------|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| 24 Jan<br>2022 | Abu-Raddad,<br>L., et al | Effectiveness of<br>BNT162b2 and<br>mRNA-1273<br>COVID-19 boosters<br>against<br>SARS-CoV-2<br>Omicron (B.1.1.529)<br>infection in Qatar | medRxiv<br>(Retrospective<br>study) | In a population of 2,232,224 vaccinated<br>persons with at least two doses, two<br>matched, retrospective cohort studies<br>were implemented to investigate<br>effectiveness of booster vaccination<br>against symptomatic SARS-CoV-2<br>infection and against COVID-19<br>hospitalization and death, up to January<br>9, 2022. Association of booster status<br>with infection was estimated using Cox<br>proportional-hazards regression models.<br>For BNT162b2, cumulative symptomatic<br>infection incidence was 2.9% (95% CI:<br>2.8-3.1%) in the booster-dose cohort and<br>5.5% (95% CI: 5.3-5.7%) in the<br>primary-series cohort, after 49 days of<br>follow-up. Adjusted hazard ratio for<br>symptomatic infection was 0.50 (95% CI:<br>0.47-0.53). Booster effectiveness relative<br>to primary series was 50.1% (95% CI:<br>47.3-52.8%). For mRNA-1273,<br>cumulative symptomatic infection<br>incidence was 1.9% (95% CI: 1.7-2.2%)<br>in the booster-dose cohort and 3.5%<br>(95% CI: 3.2-3.9%) in the primary-series<br>cohort, after 35 days of follow-up. The<br>adjusted hazard ratio for symptomatic<br>infection was 0.49 (95% CI: 0.43-0.57).<br>Booster effectiveness relative to primary<br>series was 50.8% (95% CI: 43.4-57.3%).<br>There were fewer cases of severe<br>COVID-19 in booster-dose cohorts than<br>in primary-series cohorts, but cases of<br>severe COVID-19 were rare in all cohorts. |

NYT Coronavirus Vaccine Tracker: https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/science/coronavirus-vaccine-tracker.html

#### Bloomberg Vaccine Tracker:

https://www.bloomberg.com/graphics/covid-vaccine-tracker-global-distribution/

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine Vaccine Trial Mapper and Tracker: <u>https://vac-lshtm.shinyapps.io/ncov\_vaccine\_landscape/</u>

#### **ACIP Files:**

https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/folders/1v-jd66qllxnUkfzXWKqiD0mkVvqy\_VvJ?pli=1

# **Evidence on Vaccines (cont.)**

| Date           | Author/s                    | Title  | Journal/<br>Article Type                                      | Summary   |
|----------------|-----------------------------|--|---|---|
| 25 Jan<br>2022 | Tsz Tsun Lai,<br>F., et al. | Carditis After<br>COVID-19<br>Vaccination With a<br>Messenger RNA<br>Vaccine and an<br>Inactivated Virus<br>Vaccine  | Annals of<br>Internal<br>Medicine<br>(Case-contr<br>ol study) | A total of 160 case patients and 1533 control<br>participants were included. Incidence of<br>carditis per 100 000 doses of CoronaVac<br>and BNT162b2 administered was estimated<br>to be 0.31 (95% CI, 0.13 to 0.66) and 0.57<br>(CI, 0.36 to 0.90), respectively. Multivariable<br>analyses showed that recipients of the<br>BNT162b2 vaccine had higher odds of<br>carditis (adjusted odds ratio [OR], 3.57 [CI,<br>1.93 to 6.60]) than unvaccinated persons.<br>Stratified by sex, the OR was 4.68 (CI, 2.25<br>to 9.71) for males and 2.22 (CI, 0.57 to 8.69)<br>for females receiving the BNT162b2<br>vaccine. The ORs for adults and<br>adolescents receiving the BNT162b2<br>vaccine were 2.41 (CI, 1.18 to 4.90) and<br>13.79 (CI, 2.86 to 110.38), respectively.<br>Subanalysis showed an OR of 9.29 (CI, 3.94<br>to 21.91) for myocarditis and 1.06 (CI, 0.35<br>to 3.22) for pericarditis associated with<br>BNT162b2. The risk was mainly seen after<br>the second dose of BNT162b2 rather than<br>the first. No association between<br>CoronaVac and carditis with a magnitude<br>similar to that for BNT162b2 was seen. |
| 27 Jan<br>2022 | Corrao, G., et<br>al        | Persistence of<br>protection against<br>SARS-CoV-2 clinical<br>outcomes up to 9<br>months since<br>vaccine completion:<br>a retrospective<br>observational<br>analysis in<br>Lombardy, Italy | Lancet<br>(Observation<br>al analysis)                        | In this retrospective observational analysis<br>using the vaccination campaign integrated<br>platform of the Italian region of Lombardy,<br>5 351 085 individuals aged 12 years or older<br>who received complete vaccination from<br>Jan 17 to July 31, 2021, were followed up<br>from 14 days after vaccine completion until<br>Oct 20, 2021. Changes over time in<br>outcome rates (ie, SARS-CoV-2 infection<br>and severe illness among vaccinated<br>individuals) were analysed with<br>age-period-cohort models. Trends in<br>vaccine effectiveness (ie, outcomes<br>comparison in vaccinated and unvaccinated<br>individuals) were also measured.  |

# **Evidence on Vaccines (cont.)**

| Date           | Author/s          | Title  | Journal/<br>Article Type               | Summary  |
|----------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 27 Jan<br>2022 | Corrao, G., et al | Persistence of<br>protection against<br>SARS-CoV-2 clinical<br>outcomes up to 9<br>months since<br>vaccine completion:<br>a retrospective<br>observational<br>analysis in<br>Lombardy, Italy | Lancet<br>(Observation<br>al analysis) | <cont.><br/>Overall, 14 140 infections and 2450 severe<br/>illnesses were documented, corresponding<br/>to incidence rates of <math>6 \cdot 7</math> (95% Cl <math>6 \cdot 6 - 6 \cdot 8</math>)<br/>and <math>1 \cdot 2</math> (<math>1 \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot 2</math>) cases per 10 000<br/>person-months, respectively. From the first<br/>to the ninth month since vaccine<br/>completion, rates increased from <math>4 \cdot 6</math> to <math>10 \cdot 2</math><br/>infections, and from <math>1 \cdot 0</math> to <math>1 \cdot 7</math> severe<br/>illnesses every 10 000 person-months.<br/>These figures correspond to relative<br/>reduction of vaccine effectiveness of <math>54 \cdot 9\%</math><br/>(<math>95\%</math> Cl <math>48 \cdot 3 - 60 \cdot 6</math>) for infection and of<br/><math>40 \cdot 0\%</math> (<math>16 \cdot 2 - 57 \cdot 0</math>) for severe illness. The<br/>increasing infection rate was greater for<br/>individuals aged 60 years or older who<br/>received adenovirus-vectored vaccines<br/>(from <math>4 \cdot 0</math> to <math>23 \cdot 5</math> cases every 10 000<br/>person-months). The increasing severe<br/>illness rates were similar for individuals<br/>receiving mRNA-based vaccines (from <math>1 \cdot 1</math><br/>to <math>1 \cdot 5</math> every 10 000 person-months) and<br/>adenovirus-vectored vaccines (from <math>0 \cdot 5</math> to<br/><math>0 \cdot 9</math> every 10 000 person-months).</cont.> |

# **Evidence on Medical and Surgical Procedures**

| Date          | Author/s | Title | Journal/<br>Article Type | Summary |
|---------------|----------|-------|--------------------------|---------|
| -             | -        | -     | -                        | -       |
| <b>F</b> . 14 |          |       |                          |         |

#### **Evidence on Equipment & Devices**

| Date | Author/s | Title | Journal/<br>Article Type | Summary |
|------|----------|-------|--------------------------|---------|
| -    | -        | -     | -                        | -       |
|      |          |       |                          |         |

#### **Evidence on Traditional Medicine**

| Date | Author/s | Title | Journal/ Summary<br>Article Type |  |
|------|----------|-------|----------------------------------|--|
| -    | -        | -     |                                  |  |

# **Evidence on Preventive & Promotive Health**

### **Evidence on Screening/Surveillance**

| Date           | Author/s         | Title  | Journal/<br>Article Type              | Summary   |
|----------------|------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| 30 Jan<br>2022 | Ahmed, W., et al | Limit of Detection for<br>Rapid Antigen<br>Testing of the<br>SARS-CoV-2<br>Omicron Variant | medRxiv<br>(in-vitro<br>quantitation) | The limit of detection (LoD) for the<br>Omicron variant was determined<br>compared with the WA1 strain used for<br>LoD studies described in the Instructions<br>for Use for all Emergency Use<br>Authorization (EUA)-approved antigen<br>tests. Using live virus (to avoid artifactual<br>findings potentially obtained with<br>gamma-irradiated or heat-killed virus)<br>quantified by plaque forming units<br>(PFU),the analytical sensitivity of three<br>antigen tests widely used in the United<br>States: the Abbott Binax Now, the<br>AccessBio CareStart , and LumiraDx<br>antigen tests was examined.<br>The 95% detection threshold (LoD) for<br>antigen tests was found to be at least as<br>good for Omicron as for the WA1 strain.<br>Furthermore, the relationship of genome<br>copies to plaque forming units for<br>Omicron and WA1 overlap. Therefore, the<br>LoD equivalency also applies if the<br>quantitative comparator is genome copies<br>determined from live virus preparations.<br>Taken together, data support the<br>continued ability of the antigen tests<br>examined to detect the Omicron variant. |

# Evidence on Preventive & Promotive Health (cont.)

#### **Evidence on Personal Measures**

| Date           | Author/s        | Title   | Journal/<br>Article Type                           | Summary   |
|----------------|-----------------|---|--|---|
| 26 Jan<br>2022 | Pope, Z., et al | Inactivation of<br>Replication-Compet<br>ent SARS-CoV-2 on<br>Common Surfaces<br>by Disinfectants | Infection<br>Control &<br>Hospital<br>Epidemiology | This experimental laboratory-based study<br>evaluated two disinfectants' efficacy<br>against replication-competent severe<br>acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2<br>(SARS-CoV-2) on three surfaces.<br>Disinfectants were efficacious at<br>eliminating the presence, viability, and<br>subsequent replication of SARS-CoV-2<br>on all surfaces. Although SARS-CoV-2<br>likely spreads primarily via airborne<br>transmission, layered mitigation should<br>include high-touch surface disinfection. |

# **Evidence on Community Measures**

| Date | Author/s | Title | Journal/<br>Article Type | Summary |
|------|----------|-------|--------------------------|---------|
| -    | -        | -     | -                        | -       |