	<ul> <li>Immunocompromised population aged 18 years old and above: 3 doses of 600 SU/0.5 mL suspension for injection (IM)</li> </ul>	
Route of administration	Intramuscular (IM)	
Drug delivery system	Opalescent aqueous suspension in one-dose or two-dose vials.	
Storage condition	Store at 2° to 8°C. Protect from light. Do not freeze. One dose vial: 12 months; Two-dose vial: 6 months	
Mechanism of action	Inactivated strain of SARS-CoV-2 created from vero -cells to induce immune response ( <u>Mascellino et al., 2021</u> )	
Contraindications	<ul> <li>People with history of allergic reaction to <i>CoronaVac</i> or other inactivated vaccine, or any component of CoronaVac (active or inactive ingredients, or any material used in the process);</li> <li>Previous severe allergic reactions to the vaccine (e.g. acute anaphylaxis, angioedema, dyspnea, etc);</li> <li>People with severe neurological conditions (e.g. transverse myelitis, Guillain-Barre syndrome, demyelinating diseases, etc);</li> <li>Patients with uncontrolled chronic diseases</li> </ul>	
PHL EUA status	<ul> <li>Released on <u>22 February 2021</u></li> <li>Updated on <u>07 April 2021</u> to include senior citizens in the target population</li> <li>Updated on <u>15 November 2021</u> to include its indication for booster vaccination among adults 18 years and above</li> <li>Updated on <u>11 March 2022</u> to expand the indication to individuals aged 6 and above</li> </ul>	
PHL FDA EUA indication	This product is suitable for clinically healthy people aged 6 years old and above susceptible to virus.	

The product information/fact sheet is available here.

Pursuant to the role of the Health Technology Assessment Council (HTAC) which is to develop recommendations in the selection and financing of COVID-19 vaccines for the COVID-19 Vaccine Implementation for 2022, this assessment follows the HTAC evaluation framework to assess COVID-19 vaccines using the following criteria: (1) responsiveness to magnitude and severity; (2) clinical efficacy and safety; (3) affordability, viability and feasibility; (4) household financial impact; (5) social impact; and (6) responsiveness to equity.

## **Policy Question**

The HTAC aims to answer the policy question:

Should the DOH finance *CoronaVac COVID-19 Vaccine for the pediatric population ages 6 - 17 years old* as part of the 2022 COVID-19 Vaccination Program to reduce COVID-19 cases, severe infection, and deaths?

## **Recommendations** (as of 05 October 2022)

The HTAC is not recommending government financing of *CoronaVac* for primary vaccination series for children aged 6 to 11 years old because of the unsatisfactory benefit-risk profile based on currently available evidence on clinical efficacy and effectiveness against Omicron variant and short term safety data.

However, for children ages 6 to 11 years old with contraindications to receiving currently available mRNA vaccines (eg. anaphylaxis to the first dose of mRNA vaccine or previous allergy to PEG), the HTAC recommends using available supplies of *CoronaVac* as an alternative based on the evidence that *CoronaVac* shows lower risk of having severe adverse events (SAEs) compared to mRNA vaccines.

Meanwhile, for children ages 12 to 17 years, the HTAC recommends using available supplies of *CoronaVac* as an alternative for mRNA vaccines due to its acceptable benefit-risk profile based on currently available clinical effectiveness against the Omicron variant and short-term safety data.

The HTAC considered the following criteria in formulating its recommendation for the vaccine:

Criteria	HTAC Judgment for 6 to 11 years old (as of 05 October 2022)	HTAC Judgment for 12 to 17 years old (as of 05 October 2022)		
What is the magnitude and severity of COVID-19 in children	The global burden of COVID-19 contributed by children aged 6 to 17 years old cannot be ascertained as children experience milder symptoms and asymptomatic presentations leading to less probability of being tested and more unreported cases (WHO, 2021).			
ages 6 to 17 years old? Is COVID-19 a public health priority?	In the Philippines, trends of cases in children are similar to other age gr with a rise in cases from July to August 2022. A decrease in cases observed in early September 2022 but an increase is being observed ag late September 2022. Meanwhile, Case Fatality Rates (CFRs) in ch remained relatively the same over time, which is consistent in othe groups as well. In terms of hospitalization, currently, the effect of varia this age group cannot be established due to limited sequencing capacithe country.			
	Only one (1) new case of MIS-C was from March to July 2022 and no new July to August 2022 based on pa registry.	reported in the 12-17 year-old age group case of MIS-C was then reported from assive surveillance of the SALVACION		
	It is noted that studies of hospitaliz infection in Hong Kong showed manifestations and croup compa parainfluenza.	ed children with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron more severe illness with neurologic red to children with influenza and		
	In terms of transmission, children transmit SARS-CoV-2 to their family m is no significant difference in the hou child and adult contacts.	have significantly lower possibility to nembers. Specifically for Omicron, there usehold secondary attack rate between		
	There are no local studies on post-CO this post-COVID-19 condition appears adults.	OVID-19 conditions. US data shows that s to be less common in children than in		
	Based on the above, there is no appa among children aged 6 to 17 years September 2022).	rent increase in the burden of COVID-19 old, as of the writing of this report (07		
Is CoronaVac safe and efficacious for the padiatria	<b>Yes,</b> based on limited trial data surveillance, the short-term safety of children aged 6-17 years is acceptal needed to establish longer-term safety	and real-world post-marketing safety 2-dose primary series of <i>CoronaVac</i> in ble. However, further follow-up data is /.		
population ages 6 to 17 years old?	Evidence on clinical efficacy of Coron showed that VEs against the Of specifications, based on very low cert Co., Ltd., 2022).	<i>aVa</i> c in children aged 6 to 17 years old micron variant did not pass HTAC ainty of evidence (Sinovac Life Sciences		
Can CoronaVac	<ul> <li>Symptomatic COVID-19 (6 to 1</li> <li>Symptomatic COVID-19 (12-1)</li> </ul>	<b>11 yo):</b> 22.11% (95% CI: -26.74 to 52.52) <b>7 yo):</b> 19.97% (95% CI: -77.45 to 64.59)		

significantly	• Severe COVID-19(6 mo to 17 yo): 75.29% (95% CI: -149.70 to 99.50)		
reduce the magnitude and severity of COVID-19 in children ages 6 to 17 years old?	In terms of vaccine effectiveness du the Delta-dominant period, there were A real-world study in Chile du <u>al., 2022</u> , preprint) showed pa COVID-19 [VE: 91.0% (95% CI: years old, based on high certai Meanwhile, in terms of the Om <u>Florentino, et al. 2022</u> ( Omicron variant in child HTAC specifications ba of evidence: Symptomatic CU Hospitalization 84.5) ICU admission of 85.0)] <u>Lau et al., 2022</u> (preprint that: In children aged CoronaVac at le not pass the HT COVID-19 [VE: 4 was noted vacc implemented du study was alreat period is needed vaccine in this at In adolescents at COVID-19 [VE: 5]	uring the Omicron-dominant period and varying results. Iring the Delta dominant period (Jara et assing VE against hospitalization due to : 87.7 to 93.4)] in children aged 6 to 16 nty of evidence. icron variant: published) showed that VEs against dren aged 6 to 11 years old did not pass ased on moderate to very low certainty OVID-19 39.8% (95% CI: 33.7 to 45.4) due to COVID-19: 59.2% (95% CI: 11.3 to due to COVID-19 20.9 (95% CI: -177.2 to nt), a test-negative design study showed I 3 to 11 yo, VE against infection of ast 14 days after the second dose did 'AC specifications for symptomatic I0.8% (95% CI: 12.8 to 59.5)]. However, it ination in children aged 3 to 11 yo was uring the Omicron variant and while the dy being conducted. Longer follow-up d to establish the effectiveness of the age group. aged 12 to 17 yo, VE against infection of east 14 days after the second dose C specifications for symptomatic information in children aged 3 to 11 yo was uring the Omicron variant and while the dy being conducted. Longer follow-up d to establish the effectiveness of the age group. aged 12 to 17 yo, VE against infection of east 14 days after the second dose C specifications for symptomatic is 5% (38.2 to 67.2)].	
	<ul> <li>In terms of immunogenic response is</li> <li>Phase III trial by <u>Soto et al., 207</u> induces an immune response in However, there was a decrease response against Delta variant the Omicron variant, there is a an increase in T-cell response.</li> <li>Immunobridging study by Rosa not specified) showed that <i>Cor</i> adolescents aged 11 to 17 yea superior compared to the imm</li> <li>Phase II trial by Leung et al., 20 enhanced immune response in second dose of <i>CoronaVac</i> cor</li> </ul>	acceptable: <u>22</u> (preprint) showed that <i>CoronaVac</i> in children aged 6 to 17 years old. e in neutralizing antibody and T-cell compared to the wild-type strain. For decrease in neutralizing antibodies but a Duque et al., 2022 (published, variant <i>ronaVac</i> induces an immune response in irs old that is either non-inferior or une response of adults. D22 (preprint, wild-type) showed a dolescents aged 11 to 17 yo after the mpared to before vaccination.	
Does CoronaVac provide a highly favorable benefit/risk profile in the context of observed vaccine efficacy, effectiveness and safety in individuals aged 6 to 17	Among children aged 6 to 11 years old, the 2-dose primary series of <i>CoronaVac</i> has an <b>unsatisfactory</b> <b>benefit-risk</b> profile based on currently available evidence on clinical efficacy and effectiveness against the Omicron variant and short-term safety data. However, for children aged 6 to 11 years old with mRNA vaccine contraindication (eg. anaphylaxis to the first dose of mRNA vaccine or previous allergy to PEG), <i>CoronaVac</i> may be given.	Among children aged 12 to 17 years old, the 2-dose primary series of <i>CoronaVac</i> has an acceptable benefit-risk profile based on currently available clinical effectiveness against the Omicron variant and short-term safety data.	

years old?	
Is CoronaVac affordable and feasible to use in a national immunization program for the pediatric population ages 6 to 17 years old? Does CoronaVac represent good value for money in terms of preventing COVID-19 morbidity and mortality?	Implementing 2-dose primary series using <i>CoronaVac</i> for children aged 6 to 11 years old with mRNA vaccine contraindications and adolescents aged 12 to 17 years old will not incur additional budget impact as existing doses will be used for this vaccination strategy. A 2-dose primary series of <i>CoronaVac</i> for children aged 6 to 11 years old with mRNA vaccine contraindications and adolescents aged 12 to17 years old may represent good value for money as it is likely to be effective based on limited evidence.
Does CoronaVac reduce out-of-pocket (OOP) expenses of households due to COVID-19?	Based on current evidence, 2-dose primary series of <i>CoronaVac</i> for children aged 6 to 11 years old with mRNA vaccine contraindications and adolescents aged 12 to 17 years old has the potential to reduce out-of-pocket expenses due to averted costs of isolation and treatment of mild, moderate, and severe COVID-19.
Does CoronaVac possess the characteristic s that are desired by key stakeholders?	Given the available clinical evidence, ease in logistics and ability to allow for equitable coverage, and availability of FDA EUA, <i>CoronaVac</i> possesses most of the characteristics desired by key stakeholders for its use as a 2-dose primary series for children aged 6 to 11 years old with mRNA vaccine contraindications and adolescents aged 12 to 17 years old. However, currently there is no information on public acceptability of CoronaVac does not have a WHO EUL for the pediatric population.
Does CoronaVac reduce or not further add to existing inequities in the health system?	<ul> <li>The HTAC reiterates the importance of the following measures in the success of the implementation of COVID-19 primary series for the adolescent population: <ul> <li>emphasis on strategies to increase primary series in children &lt;12 years old and first booster vaccination coverage among priority groups</li> <li>ensure that information, education, and communication (IEC) and other vaccination-related documents are accessible and comprehensible (i.e., translated into the local language of the target population)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Vaccination of the adolescent population shall be rolled out following the country's prioritization criteria, cognizant of the following: <ul> <li>burden of COVID-19 in the priority groups, especially those with comorbidities;</li> <li>sufficient supply to cover the all other vaccination strategies in the pipeline along with second booster (remaining primary and 1st booster for adult population)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

In the development of this recommendation, the HTA Council has appraised and considered the evidence review of the Philippine COVID-19 Living Clinical Practice Guidelines Group, the International Vaccine Access Center (<u>IVAC</u>) of the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health and World Health Organization review, <u>COVID-NMA</u> living review and review of global and local data pertaining to the epidemiology of 6 to 17 year-old children with COVID-19.

The HTA Council further emphasizes the need to enforce strict conditions for the emergency use of health products to safeguard against eventualities:

- Transparency and accountability in the processes of allowing emergency use of health products, especially for the public health response;
- Continuous collection of safety and effectiveness data in the context of clinical trials and actual use in the real world;
- Close monitoring of recipients and safeguards for expected and unexpected adverse events that may arise from the use of health products under an EUA;
- National coordination of the emergency use under the Philippine FDA and the DOH;
- Cascading of complete information to vaccinees and healthcare providers on potential risks and benefits, and securing of informed consent with regard to receiving the intervention; and

Finally, the HTAC recommends the conduct of research to address the current gaps in evidence with regard to the use of *CoronaVac*:

- Real-world effectiveness in the Philippine context particularly focused on the following knowledge gaps:
  - Effectiveness in reducing COVID-19 cases, hospitalizations and deaths, and preventing outbreaks and transmission of disease across the population
  - Effectiveness in reducing asymptomatic infection
  - Duration of protection
  - Impact of the timing and number of doses received
  - Probable need for booster dosing
  - Differences in the effectiveness of the vaccine among special populations (i.e., individuals with comorbidities, immunocompromised patients)
  - Effectiveness of the vaccine against emerging SARS-CoV-2 viral strains
  - Continuous safety surveillance and monitoring of all adverse events especially severe allergic reactions, Bell's palsy, serious adverse events such as thrombosis thrombocytopenia syndrome (TTS), myocarditis and other adverse events of special interest (AESI) following vaccination
  - Best practices, challenges, and barriers in implementation across different localities
  - Monitoring of unexpected or additional costs associated with vaccine implementation.

## Current Evidence on CoronaVac COVID-19 Vaccine

The table below summarizes the appraisal of available evidence on *CoronaVac* based on the HTAC evaluation framework.

In addition, the following appendices are provided for further details:

• Appendix 1A: Risk of Bias Assessment Methodology

- Appendix 1B: Risk of Bias Assessment Results by HTAC
- Appendix 2: GRADE Table